Thank you Mr. President.

More than twenty years ago, the Vienna Declaration warned of ‘the harmful effects of certain traditional or customary practices, cultural prejudices, and religious extremism’ in promoting equality for women.

Such prejudices are a continuing scourge of societies worldwide, and are manifested in the social and systematic denial of women’s enjoyment of human rights. The deleterious concept of ‘honour’, for example, is supported by both extra-judicial violence and discriminatory laws.

They are, therefore, a primary obstacle to the Vienna priority of ‘[eliminating] gender bias in the elimination of justice’.

It is incumbent upon States, regardless of their internal socio-culture, to respect international legal obligations, and to repeal or amend domestic laws discriminating against women, for example those inherent in the application of Sharia law.

Secondly, this must be supported by real enforcement. The UN Multi-Country Study on Men and Violence in Asia and the Pacific found that between 72 and 97% of the men studied who had perpetrated rape did not experience any legal consequences, while until an international petition was launched, the punishment given to a gang of rapists in Kenya, whose violent abuse paralysed a sixteen year old girl, was to cut the grass at the police station. These case are demonstrative of the worldwide climate of impunity surrounding gender based violence.

Neither cultural prejudices, State passivity nor traditional values can ever legitimise inadequate legal systems that violate the core principles of equality, autonomy, and dignity upon which human rights are based, nor should social attitudes be allowed to undermine the fair and equal application of just laws.

We urge States to renew their commitment to the Vienna Declaration, and to do so with an introspective examination of both their legal systems and the quality of their law enforcement.

Thank you.
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